Username	healyr

CODE CORRECTNESS AND APPROACH:		
Q1: get_friendly_dict:	Test case correctness (max = $\boxed{1.5}$)	1.5
	Approach: 0.5 = Appropriate approach;	
	0.25 = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach;	0.5
	0 = No real attempt made	
Q2: friend_besties:	Test case correctness (max = $\boxed{1.5}$)	1.5
	Approach: 0.5 = Appropriate approach;	
	0.25 = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach;	0.5
	0 = No real attempt made	
Q3: friend_second_besties:	Test case correctness $(max = \boxed{1.5})$	0.35
	Approach: 0.5 = Appropriate approach;	
	0.25 = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach;	0.5
	No real attempt made	
Q4: besties_coverage:	Test case correctness (max = $\boxed{1.5}$)	1.15
	Approach: 0.5 = Appropriate approach and use of data structures;	
	0.25 = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach;	0.5
	o = No real attempt made	
Q5: besties_accuracy (BONUS):	Test case correctness (max = 1)	0.0
Adherence to style guide (-comments):		
	1 Strong adherence	
	0.5 Partial adherence	1.0
	Little or no adherence	
Commenting:		
	1 Helpful, insightful and succinct	
	0.5 Somewhat helpful, but sometimes sparse/overly verbose	1.0
	No comments, randomly sprinkled and unhelpful, or too verbose	
	TOTAL (/IO)	0.5
	TOTAL (/[10]):	8.5

Question No.	Line(s)	Comment
Q1	all	Great approach for this question
Q1	all	Great commenting
Q2	all	Well commented
Q2	all	Awesome solution to the problem
Q4	all	Good work - I liked the algorithm you have tried to implement here!
Q4	all	Using sets in this question might have made it a lot easier
Q4	all	Very nice commenting