Username healyr

CODE CORRECTNESS AND APPROACH:		
Q1: `get_friendly_dict`:	Test case correctness (max = **1.5**)	**1.5**
	Approach: **0.5** = Appropriate approach; **0.25** = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; **0** = No real attempt made	**0.5**
Q2: `friend_besties`:	Test case correctness (max = **1.5**)	**1.5**
	Approach: **0.5** = Appropriate approach; **0.25** = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; **0** = No real attempt made	**0.5**
Q3: `friend_second_besties`:	Test case correctness (max = **1.5**)	**0.35**
	Approach: **0.5** = Appropriate approach; **0.25** = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; **0** = No real attempt made	**0.5**
Q4: `besties_coverage`:	Test case correctness (max = **1.5**)	**0.95**
	Approach: **0.5** = Appropriate approach and use of data structures; **0.25** = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; **0** = No real attempt made	**0.5**
Q5: `besties_accuracy` (BONUS):	Test case correctness (max = **1**)	**0.0**
Adherence to style guide (−comments):	**1** Strong adherence; **0.5** Partial adherence; **0** Little or no adherence	**1.0**
Commenting:	**1** Helpful, insightful and succinct; **0.5** Somewhat helpful, but sometimes sparse/overly verbose; **0** No comments, randomly sprinkled and unhelpful, or too verbose	**1.0**
	TOTAL (/**10**):	**8.3**

Question No.	Line(s)	Comment
Q1	all	Great approach for this question
Q1	all	Great commenting
Q2	all	Well commented
Q2	all	Awesome solution to the problem
Q4	all	Good work - I liked the algorithm you have tried to implement here!
Q4	all	Using sets in this question might have made it a lot easier
Q4	all	Very nice commenting