

Username

CODE CORRECTNESS AND APPROACH:		
Q1: parse_scenario:	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1"/>) Approach: <input type="text" value="1"/> = Appropriate approach; <input type="text" value="0.5"/> = Over-complicated/overly simplistic approach; <input type="text" value="0"/> = No real attempt made	
Q2: check_ignition:	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1"/>) Approach: <input type="text" value="1.5"/> = Appropriate approach and use of data structures; <input type="text" value="1"/> = Some functionality implemented well, over-complicated; <input type="text" value="0.5"/> = A good attempt made; <input type="text" value="0"/> = No real attempt made	
Q3: run_model:	Test case correctness (max = <input type="text" value="1"/>) Approach: <input type="text" value="1.5"/> = Appropriate approach and use of data structures; <input type="text" value="1"/> = Some functionality implemented well, over-complicated; <input type="text" value="0.5"/> = A good attempt made; <input type="text" value="0"/> = No real attempt made	
Q4: run_model test cases:	Test case submission (max = <input type="text" value="1"/>)	
Adherence to style guide (–comments):	<input type="text" value="1"/> Strong adherence <input type="text" value="0.5"/> Partial adherence <input type="text" value="0"/> Little or no adherence	
Commenting:	<input type="text" value="1"/> Helpful, insightful and succinct <input type="text" value="0.5"/> Somewhat helpful, but sometimes sparse/overly verbose <input type="text" value="0"/> No comments, randomly sprinkled and unhelpful, or too verbose	
TOTAL (<input type="text" value="10"/>):		